

January 28, 1993    LB 15, 35, 45, 47, 54, 62, 67, 81  
100, 108, 118, 140, 183

vote aye, opposed nay.    Have you all voted?    Please record,  
Mr. Clerk.

CLERK:    12 ayes, 24 nays, Madam President, on the motion to  
rerefer.

PRESIDENT MOUL:    The motion fails.    Before we proceed,  
Mr. Clerk, do you have items for the record?

CLERK:    I do, Madam President, thank you. Your Committee on  
Health and Human Services gives notice of hearing. Judiciary  
gives notice of hearing. Signed by Senators Wesely and Lindsay  
respectively. Senator Landis and Hall have amendments to LB 81  
to be printed. General Affairs reports LB 183 to General File  
with amendments; LB 54, General File with amendments. Those are  
signed by Senator Schellpeper. Government Committee reports  
LB 35 to General File; LB 67, General File. Those are signed by  
Senator Schimek. Education Committee reports LB 100 to General  
File with committee amendments attached. That's signed by  
Senator Withem. Enrollment and Review reports they have  
carefully examined and reviewed LB 62 and recommend that same be  
placed on Select File; LB 15, LB 108, LB 45, LB 47, LB 118, all  
reported to Select File, some of which have E & R amendments  
attached. (See pages 392-94 of the Legislative Journal.) Madam  
President, with respect to LB 140, the next motion I have is by  
Senators Vrtiska and Bromm, that is to indefinitely postpone the  
bill. Senator Bernard-Stevens has the option to lay the bill  
over.

PRESIDENT MOUL:    We will proceed with the motion.    Senator  
Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Madam President, and members of the  
body, we've spent a lot of time on this bill and I don't think  
it appears we're getting anywhere and it appears to me that it's  
been pretty well established that this is not necessarily a  
piece of legislation that's that valuable. It's been up  
before...this body before, I understand, and has been killed or  
indefinitely postponed. I reiterate my statements that I made  
earlier, I don't have any real problem in opposing railroad  
workers anymore than anybody else here, but I guess my feeling  
is if we're going to start this kind of legislation, maybe we  
ought to start looking around and find out who else we can  
include in this type of legislation that maybe feel that they  
should have a bill passed for their benefit. So I guess it